

## PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING – 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2012

### Amendment/De-brief Sheet

### PLANNING APPLICATIONS

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0086/FUL

Location: 169 - 173 High Street, East Chesterton

Target Date: 24.04.2012

To Note:

#### **Submission of online petition by County Councillor Ian Manning**

- Received on 30 April.
- The petition has been supported by 59 people and argues for the retention of 'The Dog and Pheasant' public house.
- It is argued that the current owners of the premises should allow the building to continue as a pub, and;
- That planning permission is refused.

#### **2 Further representations**

161 and 163 High Street, Chesterton

The comments can be summarised as follows:

- Numbers 161 and 163 strongly object to the development, as detailed within the previous representations.
- The amendments do not address the points raised at the DCF.
- The density of the development has not been altered.
- The visualisations have been embellished with notional trees, which is impractical to enforce.
- The proposed solar panels are not enforceable.

#### **Officer Comments**

- The amenity issues are covered from paragraph 8.15 of the officer report.
- Conditions 11 and 14 secure replacement trees and the proposed renewable energy strategy.

**Comments from the Disability Panel (28 February 2012)**

No adverse comments.

Although not required for a development on this scale, the Panel noted the absence of a lift.

**1 Further letter from the applicants agent**

This has already been circulated to Members. I have attached a copy to the amendment sheet.

Amendments To Text: No amendments.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: No amendments.

**DECISION:**

---

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0345/LBC

Location: 33 Parkside

Target Date: 11.05.2012

To Note:

Condition 2 of the Officer report, recommends that the proposed lighting is switched off when the business closes. The applicants have requested the imposition of an alternative condition as set out below:

The advertisement hereby approved shall only be illuminated until 23:00 hrs Monday to Sunday.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity. (East of England Plan 2008 policy ENV7 and Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policies 3/4 and 3/15)

In order to reduce the opportunity for the lights to be left on, the practice would like to install a timer so that the lighting automatically switches off by 11 pm. The reason for this time is that sometimes the practice has meetings with clients that can go on until 11 pm.

Barr Ellison Solicitors, some 50 m away, has illumination to the front elevation of their property and Parkside is illuminated by street lights. The National Express Coach stops are situated opposite and there are comings and goings of coaches throughout the evening. For these reasons, Officers do not consider that the setting of the listed building or Parkers Piece would be detrimentally harmed by the proposed lighting remaining on until 11 pm.

I have not altered my recommendation and would welcome guidance from Members on whether or not condition 2 should be amended as above.

Amendments To Text:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

**DECISION:**

---

## GENERAL ITEMS

ITEM:                      APPLICATION REF:              Enforcement Item

Location:              The Howard Mallett Centre, Sturton Street, Cambridge.

Target Date:      N/A

To Note:              Paragraph 3.7 of the report states "The agent working on behalf of the owners requested that a representation be added to the report from the owners. This was agreed to, but at the time of writing the report no submissions had been received." A letter was received today (30/04/12) by email and has therefore been attached as an amendment.

Amendments To Text:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: No amendments

**DECISION:**

---